## QUAD OF AIMS

(TO BE COMPLETED WHEN MAKING CALL-IN OR REQUESTING AN ITEM ON AGENDA)

### (TO BE COMPLETED WHEN MAKING CALL-IN)

# Title : Darlington Markets Cabinet Minute (if appropriate) :

Part I

| REASON FOR ITEM/CALL-IN   | RESOURCE   |
|---|--|
| <ol> <li>Would "Council" as a decision making body have the legal competence<br/>to consider the proposals, rather than "Cabinet"</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Council can only make decisions that are within their functions and<br/>responsibilities. The decision did not involve any change to the budget<br/>and policy framework and was considered to be a decision for the<br/>executive (Cabinet) to consider. It was not a decision that was within the<br/>competence of Council.</li> </ol>   |
| 4. It is not clear how the establishment of a "Local Board" differs from the current governance or working arrangement between " <b>MAM</b> " and the Council. How have Cabinet satisfied themselves that the Council and the Portfolio holder have the expertise to add value over and above the current relationship.   | 4. Terms of reference and constituent members of the board are still to be agreed  |
| 8. "MAM Darlington" due to its size are only required to publish<br>Micro Accounts which are effectively unaudited Financial<br>Statements prepared by the "Sole Director", have Cabinet<br>requested an audit be carried out by a suitably qualified practice?   | 8. The forecasts provided by MAM have been prepared by the<br>Management Team which includes a qualified Group Financial<br>Controller. No they have not.  |
| 10. The report references practical challenges with taking the<br>"Market" back under its wing and the potential Investment<br>write off. No other options are mentioned, at the very least an<br>appraisal of bringing back in house v investment write off<br>would have been carried out. Can full details of this appraisal<br>please be provided to scrutiny. If this option was not explored<br>then please provide an explanation as to why. | 10. Bringing the market back inhouse was an option in the cabinet paper.<br>A number of meetings took place with Portfolio Holders through<br>which a preferred direction emerged. In view of this a detailed<br>appraisal was not carried out. However, it should be noted that the<br>Council does not have spare capacity to manage the market<br>operations and does not have of the expertise in retail and marketing<br>with very limited contacts in this segment of trading activity.<br>Another consideration would be TUPE implications, and clear risks<br>that management change of this scale could be very disruptive at a<br>time when positive trading momentum is apparent. |

# QUAD OF AIMS

(TO BE COMPLETED WHEN MAKING CALL-IN OR REQUESTING AN ITEM ON AGENDA)

### (TO BE COMPLETED WHEN MAKING CALL-IN)

| PROCESS  | ANTICIPATED OUTCOME  |
|--|--|
| <ol> <li>To discuss with the Portfolio Holder and AD/Head of Service</li> <li>To scrutinise all paperwork requested in advance of the meeting</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>To review the additional information and scrutinise the evidence</li> <li>To consider the decision made by Cabinet to change the terms of the<br/>Loan and revised working relationship and form a view as to whether the<br/>matter has received the appropriate level of due diligence and that all<br/>options were considered.</li> </ol> |

This document was classified as : OFFICIAL

## QUAD OF AIMS

(TO BE COMPLETED WHEN MAKING CALL-IN OR REQUESTING AN ITEM ON AGENDA)

COUNCILLOR Scott Durham .....

COUNCILLOR Alan Marshall .....

COUNCILLOR Roz Henderson .....

Signed - Assistant Director of Law and Governance

Dated .....